

References

As of 24 February 2022



Glass Hybrids

EQUIA Forte & EQUIA Forte HT

Cost-effective, long-term
restorative alternative





EQUIA Forte HT Bulk fill glass hybrid restorative system

1. Comparative Radiopacity of Different Posterior Restorative Materials. Ergucu Z, Balci M , Güneri P , Boyacioglu HL, Turkun S. CED-IADR, Madrid, 2019.
2. Evaluation of Mechanical Properties of New GI-restorative (EQUIA Forte HT) Shimada Y, Mori D, Kumagai T, IADR General Session- Vancouver, 2019
3. Clinical evaluation EQUIA Forte HT. Dental Advisor Vol.36, No06 November/December 2019
4. Mechanical and Adhesive Properties of Novel Self-Adhesive and Fluoride-Release Materials. P. François et al. *J Dent Res J Dent Res Vol 99 (Spec Iss A): 0087*, <https://iadr2020.zerista.com/event/member/676633>, 2020
5. Comparison of Compressive Strength and Fluoride Release of GIC Restoratives. D. Mori. *J Dent Res J Dent Res Vol 99 (Spec Iss A): 1856*, <https://iadr2020.zerista.com/event/member/677908>, 2020
6. Effect of Coca-Cola on Microhardness of Glass-Hybrid and Glassionomer Materials. A. Baraba et al. *J Dent Res J Dent Res Vol 99 (Spec Iss A): 1313*, <https://iadr2020.zerista.com/event/member/679420>, 2020
7. Stabilization Time of Chemical Bonds in Restorative Glass-Ionomer/Glass-Hybrid Cements. R. Pascotto et al. *J Dent Res J Dent Res Vol 99 (Spec Iss A): 1051*, <https://iadr2020.zerista.com/event/member/679151>, 2020
8. Compression Fracture Resistance of Four Different Glass-ionomer Cements. D. Glavina, K. Gorseta. *J Dent Res J Dent Res Vol 99 (Spec Iss A): 1284*, <https://iadr2020.zerista.com/event/member/677597>, 2020
9. Compressive Strength, Microhardness, Acid Erosion of Restorative Glass Hybrid/Glass-ionomer Cements. M. Navarro et al. *J Dent Res J Dent Res Vol 99 (Spec IssA):1310*, <https://iadr2020.zerista.com/event/member/679417>, 2020
10. The influence of surface resin coating on the color stability of restorative glass-ionomer / glass hybrid cements. R. Menezes-Silva et al. *J Dent Res J Dent Res Vol 99 (Spec IssA):1312*, <https://iadr2020.zerista.com/event/member/679419>, 2020
11. Mechanical and Optical Properties of a Novel Bulk Fill Glass Hybrid Restorative dental material. S. Shahrooz et al. Dental Material, *J Dent Res J Dent Res Vol 99 (Spec Iss A): 3382*, <https://iadr2020.zerista.com/event/member/677755>, 2020
12. Bond strength to tooth structure and flexural properties of a new precapsulated glass-ionomer cement for filling. Irie M. et al., The Journal of the Japanese Society for Dental Materials and Devices, Vol.37 Special Issue 72, p.89 (2018).
13. Compressive Strength of New Glass Ionomer Cement Technology based Restorative Materials after Thermocycling and Cyclic Loading. V. Brzović Rajić, A. Ivanišević Malčić, Z. Bilge Kütük, S. Gurgan, S. Jukić Krmek, I. Miletić. *Acta stomatol Croat.* 2019;53(3):318-325. DOI: 10.15644/asc53/4/2.
14. Clinical Performance of Restorations in Teeth Affected by MIH. Kaya R, Kargul B. 2021 99th General Session & Exhibition of the IADR. *J Dent Res 100 (Spec Iss A):abstract number 0584* <https://iadr2021.secure-platform.com/a/gallery/rounds/6/details/1578>
[Clinical Performance of Restorations in Teeth Affected by MIH IADR Abstract Archives](#)
15. Two years clinical performance of composite and glass hybrid restorations for molars affected by MIH. R. Kaya, N. Kodaman Dokumacigil, B. Kargul. Abstract,EAPD Lisbon,June 2022



EQUIA Forte Bulk fill glass hybrid restorative system

1. A Compression Fracture Resistance of Four Different Glass-Ionomer Cements. D. Glavina, K. Gorseta & T. Skrinjaric. Poster: ConsEuro 14-16 May 2015, London, UK.A compression
2. Material-related recommendations for the use of glassionomer-based restorative system in class II cavities. A mechanical test. M. Basso, A. Ionescu & E. Brambilla. Boston 2015., poster ID: #3532.
3. Evaluation of hardness increase of GIC restorative surface in saliva. Y. Shimada, Y. Hokii, K. Yamamoto, S. Fukushima & T. Kumagai. Conseuro 2015, poster ID: #0054
4. Glass hybrid, but not calcium hydroxide, remineralized artificial residual caries lesions in vitro. A.Al-Abdi, S. Paris, F. Schwendicke. Clin Oral Invest. DOI 10.1007/s00784-016-1803-6 (published online April 2016)
5. Les ciments verres ionomères à haute viscosité. E. Dursun, E. Savard, J. Gelly & J.P. Attal. Clinic, 2016(37), pp. 293-297
6. Discussing the data. The Dentist, October 2016, pp. 94-96
7. In-vitro Study on Temperature Changes in the Pulp Chamber Due to Thermo-Curing of Glass Ionomer Cements. R. van Duinen, S. Shahid, R. Hill, D. Glavina. Acta stomatol Croat. 2016;50(4):287-291
DOI: 10.15644/asc50/4/1
8. Fracture resistance and cusp deflection of lined or non-lined composite and glass hybrid restorations over residual demineralized dentin. M. Sawalt, S. Paris, U. Blunck, F. Schwendicke. J Adhes Dent 2017; 19:77-82
<https://doi.org/10.3290/j.jad.a37719>
9. Clinical Performance of a Glass Hybrid Restorative in Extended-size Class II Cavities After 1-year. S. Gurgan, Z. Kutuk, C. Ozturk, R. Soleimani, F. Yalcin Cakir. Abstract 0235, 2018 AADR/CADR Annual Meeting
<https://doi.org/10.2341/18-282-C>
10. Flexural Strength With Depth and Shrinkage Stress of Bulk-fill Composites. B. Mendonça, F. Rueggeberg, R. Braga, J. Soto, G. Ambrosano, E. Castro, V. Azevedo, M. Giannini. Abstract 682, 2018 AADR/CADR Annual Meeting
11. Fluoride Release From a New Amalgam Replacement Material. N. Cention, T. Bui, M. Heinz, R. Bergelin, M.T. Pulido, J. Mitchell. Abstract 1012, 2018 AADR/CADR Annual Meeting
12. Microhardness Comparison of Conventional Restorative Glass-Ionomer Cements. M.F. De Lima Navarro, R. Menezes-Silva, A.P. Magalhães, L. Bueno, A.F. Borges, S. Leal, Soraya, R. Pascotto, Renata. Abstract 1585, 2018 AADR/CADR Annual Meeting
13. Properties of New Glass-Ionomer Restorative Materials Marketed for Stress-Bearing Areas. D. Fuhrmann, S. Whipple, D. Murchison, K. Vandewalle, Kraig. Abstract 271, 2018 AADR/CADR Annual Meeting DOI: 10.2341/18-176-L
14. Glass hybrid restorations as an alternative for restoring hypomineralized molars in the ART model. J. de Aguiar Grossi, R. Nunes Cabral, A.P. Dias Ribeiro, S. Coelho Leal. BMC Oral Health (2018) 18:65
<https://doi.org/10.1186/s12903-018-0528-0>
15. Thermo-setting glass ionomer cements promote variable biological responses of human dental pulp stem cells. M. Collado-González , M.R. Pecci-Lloret ,



- C.J. Tomás-Catalá, D. García-Bernal, R.E. Oñate-Sánchez, C. Llana, L. Forner, V. Rosa, F.J. Rodríguez-Lozano. *Dent Mater.* 2018 Jun;34(6):932-943. doi: 10.1016/j.dental.2018.03.015
16. Viscoelastic Properties of Contemporary Bulk-fill Restoratives: A Dynamic-mechanical Analysis. J. Ong, AU Yap, JY Hong, AH Eweis, NA Yahya. *Oper Dent.* 2018 May/Jun;43(3):307-314. doi: 10.2341/16-365-L
 17. Intrapulpal temperature changes during curing of different bulk-fill restorative materials. E. Yasa, C. Atalayin, G. Karacolak, T. Sari, L.S. Turkun. *Dent Mater J.* 2017 Sep 26;36(5):566-572. doi: 10.4012/dmj.2016-200. Epub 2017 Jun 15
 18. Margin Integrity and Secondary Caries of Lined or Non-lined Composite and Glass Hybrid Restorations After Selective Excavation In Vitro. F. Schwendicke, J. Kniess, S. Paris, U. Blunck. *Oper Dent.* 2017 Mar/Apr;42(2):155-164. doi: 10.2341/16-095-L. Epub 2016 Nov 1
 19. Selective carious tissue removal using subjective criteria or polymer bur: study protocol for a randomised controlled trial (SelecCT). F. Schwendicke, S. Leal, P. Schlattmann, S. Paris, A.P. Dias Ribeiro, M. Gomes Marques, L. Augusto Hilgert. *BMJ Open* 2018;8:e022952. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2018-022952
 20. Comparative evaluation of postoperative sensitivity (POS) among three bulk fill restorative materials (Cention N, Equia Forte, Activa™ Bioactive restorative) in Class I posterior restorations. R.T. Hirani, R. Batra, S. Kapoor. *J Int Soc Prev Community Dent.* 2018 Nov-Dec;8(6):534-539. doi: 10.4103/jispcd.JISPCD_218_18. Epub 2018 Nov 29
 21. Comparative Evaluation of Postoperative Sensitivity in Bulk Fill Restoratives: A Randomized Controlled Trial. Hirani RT, Batra R, Kapoor S. *J Int Soc Prev Community Dent.* 2018 Nov-Dec;8(6):534-539. doi: 10.4103/jispcd.JISPCD_218_18. Epub 2018 Nov 29
 22. Selective carious tissue removal using subjective criteria or polymer bur: study protocol for a randomised controlled trial (SelecCT). F. Schwendicke, S. Leal, P. Schlattmann, S. Paris, A.P. Dias Ribeiro, M. Gomes Marques, L. Augusto Hilgert. *BMJ Open* 2018;8:e022952. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2018-022952
 23. Multi-Center Clinical Evaluation Bulk-Fill Glass Hybrid Restorations: One-year Report. Turkun LS, Atalayin, Baraba A, Basso M, Marcovic D, Peric T, Miletic I. 2018 IADR/PER General Session (London, England). ABSTRACT
 24. 12-month Clinical performance of a Glass-hybrid-restorative in Non-caries-cervical-lesions of Patients With Bruxism. Gurgan S, Koç V, Yildiz E, Yalcin CF, Ergin E. 2018 IADR/PER General Session (London, England). ABSTRACT
 25. *In vitro* investigation of antimicrobial effects, nanohardness, and cytotoxicity of different glass ionomer restorative materials in dentistry. Coşgun A, Bolgöl B, Duran N. *Niger J Clin Pract* 2019;22:422-31 DOI: 10.4103/njcp.njcp_429_18
 26. Two-year Multicentre Clinical Evaluation of Glass Hybrid System vs. Resin Composite Ivana Miletić, Anja Baraba, Dejan Marković, Tamara Perić, Cigdem Atalayin, Lezize Sebnem Turkun. 2019 IADR/PER General Session (Vancouver, Canada). Abstract number 3733, <https://iadr2019.zerista.com/event/member/582960>, 2019.
 27. Mechanical performance of a newly developed glass hybrid restorative in the restoration of large MO Class 2 cavities. Z.B. Kutuk, O. Ozturk, F.Y. Cakir, S. Gurgan. *Nigerian Journal of Clinical Practice*, Vol. 22, Issue 6, June 2019. <http://www.njcponline.com>. DOI: 10.4103/njcp.njcp_628_18
 28. Glass Hybrid Versus Composite for Restoration of Non-cariouse Cervical Lesions. Göstemeyer G, et. 2019 IADR/PER General Session (Vancouver, Canada). Abstractnumber3725,<https://iadr2019.zerista.com/event/member/582952>, 2019.
 29. Twenty-four-month clinical performance of a glass hybrid restorative in non-cariouse cervical lesions of patients with bruxism: a split-mouth, randomized



- clinical trial. Koc Vural U, Meral E, Ergin E, Gürgan S. Clin Oral Investig. 2019 Jul 11. doi: 10.1007/s00784-019-02986-x
30. High-Viscosity Glass Ionomer Used With Selective Cavity Preparation in MIH. Sezer B , Tugcu N, Caliskan C, Durmus B, Kargul B. CED-IADR, Madrid, 2019.
 31. Comparative evaluation of the physical properties of a reinforced glass ionomer dental restorative material. Moshaverinia M, Navas A, Jahedmanesh N, Shah KC, Moshaverinia A, Ansari S. J Prosthet Dent. 2019 Aug;122(2):154-159. doi: 10.1016/j.prosdent.2019.03.012.
 32. Does the Depth of the Cavity and the Activity of the Lesion in Primary Molars Influence in the Success of the Restoration? Baumotte L *et al.* IADR-Vancouver 2019. Available in: <https://iadr.abstractarchives.com/abstract/19iags-3186371/does-the-depth-of-the-cavity-and-the-activity-of-the-lesion-in-primary-molars-influence-in-the-success-of-the-restoration>
 33. Effects of surface coating agents on surface microhardness of bis-acryl provisional materials. Lenglerdphol S, Tool S. IADR-Vancouver 2019. Available in: <https://iadr.abstractarchives.com/abstract/19iags-3181215/effects-of-surface-coating-agents-on-surface-microhardness-of-bis-acryl-provisional-materials>
 34. Flexural Strength Comparison of EQUIA Forte versus Fuji II LC. Coleman C, Dan W. IADR-Vancouver 2019. Available in: IADR-Vancouver 2019. Available in: <https://iadr.abstractarchives.com/abstract/19iags-3127123/flexural-strength-comparison-of-equia-forte-versus-fuji-ii-lc>
 35. Color and Masking Ability of Conventional Restorative Glass-ionomer Cements. Yumi U *et al.* IADR-Vancouver 2019. Available in : <https://iadr.abstractarchives.com/abstract/19iags-3175304/color-and-masking-ability-of-conventional-restorative-glass-ionomer-cements>
 36. Measurement of Translucency of Conventional Restorative Glass-ionomer Cements. Yumi U *et al.* IADR-Vancouver 2019. Available in: <https://iadr.abstractarchives.com/abstract/19iags-3175331/measurement-of-translucency-of-conventional-restorative-glass-ionomer-cements>
 37. Compressive Strength Versus Stabilization Process of Restorative Glass-ionomer Cements. Menezes-silva R. IADR-Vancouver 2019. Available in: <https://iadr.abstractarchives.com/abstract/19iags-3175312/compressive-strength-versus-stabilization-process-of-restorative-glass-ionomer-cements>
 38. Evaluation of Mechanical Properties of New GI-restorative (EQUIA Forte HT). Shimada S *et al.* IADR-Vancouver 2019. Available in: <https://iadr.abstractarchives.com/abstract/19iags-3179559/evaluation-of-mechanical-properties-of-new-gi-restorative-equia-forte-ht>
 39. ART/Hall Technique for Primary Molar Multisurface-cavities: 30-Month RCT Results. Araujo *et al.* IADR-Vancouver 2019. Available in: <https://iadr.abstractarchives.com/abstract/19iags-3164379/arthall-technique-for-primary-molar-multisurface-cavities-30-month-rct-results>
 40. Two-year Multicentre Clinical Evaluation of Glass Hybrid System vs. Resin Composite. Miletic *et al.* IADR-Vancouver 2019. Available in: <https://iadr.abstractarchives.com/abstract/19iags-3179287/two-year-multicentre-clinical-evaluation-of-glass-hybrid-system-vs-resin-composite>
 41. Twenty-four-month clinical performance of a glass hybrid restorative in non-carious cervical lesions of patients with bruxism:a split-mouth, randomized clinical trial. U. Koc Vural, E. Meral, E. Ergin, S. Gürgan. Clinical Oral Investigations. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00784-019-02986-x>
 42. Fluoride ion release/recharge behavior of ion-releasing restorative materials. M.A. Heiss, Y. Hokii, C. Carey, G. Joshi. Poster, ADM Wyoming, USA, October 2019
 43. Clinical Performance of a Glass Hybrid Restorative in Extended size Class II Cavities. S. Gurgan, Z. Kutuk, C. Ozturk, R. Soleimani, F. Yalcin Cakir. Operative Dentistry, Oper Dent. 2019 Oct 29. doi: 10.2341/18-282-C.



44. Secondary Sjögren syndrome A case report using silver diamine fluoride and glass ionomer cement D.A. Young, A. Frostad-Thomas, J. Gold, A. Wong. *JADA* 2018;149(8):731-741. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.adaj.2018.03.021>
45. Comparative evaluation of the physical properties of a reinforced glass ionomer dental restorative material. M. Moshaverinia, A. Navas, N. Jahedmanesh, K.C.Shah, A. Moshaverinia, S. Ansari. *The Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, Volume 122, Issue 2, August 2019, p.154-159 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prosdent.2019.03.012>
46. Compressive strength of new glass ionomer cement technology based restorative materials after thermocycling and cyclic loading. V. Brzović Rajić, A. Ivanišević Malčić, Z. Bilge Kütük, S. Gurgan, S. Jukić Krmek, I. Miletić. *Acta stomatol Croat.* 2019;53(3):318-325. DOI: 10.15644/asc53/4/2
47. Thermal Imaging to Evaluate the Setting Process in Glass-Ionomer/Glass-Hybrid Cements. F. Tsuzuki et al. *J Dent Res J Dent Res Vol 99 (Spec Iss A):* 1298, <https://iadr2020.zerista.com/event/member/677652>, 2020
48. 48-Month Clinical Performance of a Glass-Hybrid in Extended-Size Class-II Cavities. S. Gurgan et al. *J Dent Res J Dent Res Vol 99 (Spec Iss A):* 1389, <https://iadr2020.zerista.com/event/member/678011>, 2020
49. Assessment of Restorative Materials in Deep Carious Lesions Using Incomplete Carious Lesion Removal Approach. A. Baysan, A. Nur, G. Davis. *J Dent Res J Dent Res Vol 99 (Spec IssA):*1780, <https://iadr2020.zerista.com/event/member/677408>, 2020
50. ART Restorations In MIH Severely Affected Molars: 4 Years Follow-up. M. Marques et al. *J Dent Res J Dent Res Vol 99 (Spec Iss A):* 2384, <https://iadr2020.zerista.com/event/member/677327>, 2020
51. Stabilization Time of Chemical Bonds in Restorative Glass-Ionomer/Glass-Hybrid Cements. R. Pascotto et al. *J Dent Res J Dent Res Vol 99 (Spec Iss A):* 1051, <https://iadr2020.zerista.com/event/member/679151>, 2020
52. Compression Fracture Resistance of Four Different Glass-ionomer Cements. D. Glavina, K. Gorseta. *J Dent Res J Dent Res Vol 99 (Spec Iss A):* 1284, <https://iadr2020.zerista.com/event/member/677597>, 2020
53. Compressive Strength, Microhardness, Acid Erosion of Restorative Glass Hybrid/Glass-ionomer Cements. M. Navarro et al. *J Dent Res J Dent Res Vol 99 (Spec IssA):*1310, <https://iadr2020.zerista.com/event/member/679417>, 2020
54. The influence of surface resin coating on the color stability of restorative glass-ionomer / glass hybrid cements. R. Menezes-Silva et al. *J Dent Res J Dent Res Vol 99 (Spec IssA):*1312, <https://iadr2020.zerista.com/event/member/679419>, 2020
55. Fluoride Recharge of Five Different Restorative Materials. S. Mankar et al. *J Dent Res J Dent Res Vol 99 (Spec Iss A):* 0767, <https://iadr2020.zerista.com/event/member/677878>, 2020
56. Release and Recharge of Fluoride, Calcium and Strontium Releasing Materials. M. Heiss et al. *J Dent Res J Dent Res Vol 99 (Spec Iss A):* 1784, <https://iadr2020.zerista.com/event/member/677412>, 2020
57. Effect of Esterase on the Physicomechanical Properties of Glass Ionomers. H. Jabarah et al. *J Dent Res J Dent Res Vol 99 (Spec Iss A):* 1050, <https://iadr2020.zerista.com/event/member/679150>, 2020
58. Mechanical Properties of Chemical Treated Titanium-enriched Glass Ionomer Cement. K. Yamamoto et al. *J Dent Res J Dent Res Vol 99 (Spec Iss A):* 1311, <https://iadr2020.zerista.com/event/member/679418>, 2020
59. Clinical Performance of a Glass-Hybrid System Compared With a Resin Composite in the Posterior Region: Results of a 2-year Multicenter Study. Miletić I et al. *J Adhes Dent.* 2020;22(3):235-247. doi:10.3290/j.jad.a44547
60. Subjective versus objective, polymer bur-based selective carious tissue removal: 1-year interim analysis of a randomized clinical trial. M. Gomes Marques, L. Augusto Hilgert, L. Ribeiro Silva, K. Medeiros Demarchi, P. Magno dos Santos Matias, A. Paula Dias Ribeiro, S. Coelho Leal, S. Paris, F.



Schwendicke. Scientific Reports (2020) 10:9130
<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-66074-x>

61. Two-Year Survival of High-Viscosity Glass Ionomer in Children with Molar Incisor Hypomineralization. B. Durmus, B. Sezer, N. Tugcu, C. Caliskan, N. Bekiroglu, B. Kargul. Med Princ Pract; DOI: 10.1159/000508676; Received: December 9, 2019 Accepted: May 10, 2020. Published online: May 15, 2020
62. Two-Year Survival of High-Viscosity Glass Ionomer in Molar Incisor Hipomineralized-molars. Durmus B. Sezer B. Tugcu N. Caliskan C. Bekiroglu N. Kargul B. Medical Principles and Practices, DOI: 10.1159/000508676 ISSN: 1011-7571 (Print), eISSN: 1423-0151 (Online)
63. Mineral exchange within restorative materials following incomplete carious lesion removal using 3D non-destructive XMT subtraction methodology. S. Zain, G.R. Davis, R. Hill, P. Anderson, A. Baysan. Journal of Dentistry 99 (2020) 103389 DOI: 10.1016/j.jdent.2020.103389
64. Shear bond strength and interfacial analysis of high-viscosity glass ionomer cement bonded to dentin with protocols including silver diammine fluoride. Ph. François, J. Greenwall-Cohen, S. Le Goff, N. Ruscassier, J.-P. Attal, E. Dursun. Journal of Oral Science. Received February 14, 2020; Accepted April 9, 2020 <https://doi.org/10.2334/josnurd.20-0065>
65. A Clinical Evaluation of Conservative approach and GIC Performance in MIH-Affected Molars: Three-year Results. N. Tugcu, B. Sezer, C. Çalışkan, B. Durmuş, B. Kargül. Abstract 1076, IAPD 2020
66. Effect of Saliva Contamination on Microleakage of Open Sandwich Restorations. Ç. Çelik, Y. Bayraktar, B.E. Özdemir. Acta stomatol Croat. 2020;54(3):273-282. DOI: 10.15644/asc54/3/5
67. Glass hybrid versus nanocomposite for restoration of sclerotic non-carious cervical lesions: 18-month results of a randomized controlled trial. G. Göstemeyer, T. Seifert, L-M Jeggle-Engbert, S. Paris, F. Schwendicke. J Adhes Dent 2021; 23: 487-496. Doi:10.3290/j.jad.b2287831
68. Cost-effectiveness of glass hybrid vs composite in a multi-country randomized trial. Schwendicke F, Gomez Rossi J, Kroisa J, Basso M, Peric T, Turkun LS, Miletic I. 2021. Journal of Dentistry. 107:103614. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdent.2021.103614>
69. Glass hybrid versus composite for non-carious cervical lesions: Survival, restoration quality and costs in randomized controlled trial after 3 years. Schwendicke F, Muller A, Seifert T, Jeggle-Engbert L, Paris S, Gostemeyer G. 2021. Journal of Dentistry. 110:103689. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdent.2021.103689>
70. Carious Lesions and First Restorative Treatment. ADOPTED by FDI General Assembly September, 2019 in San Francisco, United States of America <https://www.fdiworlddental.org/carious-lesions-and-first-restorative-treatment>. International Dental Journal 2020. Volume 70, Issue 1, February 2020, Pages 5-6. <https://doi.org/10.1111/idj.12551>
71. A Clinical Evaluation of Conservative approach and GIC Performance in MIH Affected Molars: Three-year Results. Tugcu N et al. IAPD20 Virtual. Abstract book p.842. https://iapdworld.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/09/IAPD20-Virtual-Abstract-Book-_compressed.pdf
72. Long-term cost-effectiveness of glass hybrid versus composite in permanent molars. Schwendicke F et al. J Dent. 2021. 112:103751 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdent.2021.103751>

Articles in Dental magazines

1. Glass ionomer innovation launched. The Dentist, p.64, July 2015





2. Les CVI à haute viscosité – Partie 2: Application cliniques. E. Dursun, P. François, L. Guillot, M. Martins, J.P. Attal. Bio Matériaux Cliniques. March, 2017, Vol. 2. Pp. 32 – 40