

References

As of 14 March 2022



E Q U I A
P o s t e r i o r
R e s t o r a t i v e
S y s t e m





EQUIA Posterior restorative system

1. Change in translucency of posterior restorative glass-ionomer cements. K. Tanaka, K. Kato, T. Noguchi, H. Nakaseko, S. Akahane. GC Corporation. IADR 2007, Abstract 2025
2. Influence of coating materials on conventional glass-ionomer cement (poster). K. Kato, H. Nakaseko, T. Sakuma. GC Corporation. IADR 2008, Abstract 0487
3. SEM and microleakage evaluation of the marginal integrity of two types of class V restorations with or without the use of a light-curable coating material and of polishing. E. Magni, L. Zhang, R. Hickel, M. Bossu, A. Polimeni, M. Ferrari. Journal of Dentistry 2008
4. Effect of resin coating on the ultimate strength of glass ionomers. M. Shinohara, A. Antunes, J. Padovano, A.K. Bedran-Russo. Abstract 796 – AADR March 2010, Washington DC, USA
5. Adhesion of streptococcus mutans to different restorative materials. C. Poggio, C.R. Arcialo, F. Rosti, A. Scribante, E. Saino, L. Visai. The International Journal of Artificial Organs. Vol. 32, n°9, 2009
6. Ricostruzioni dentarie a lungo termine con cementi vetroionomerici. M. Basso, J. Nowakowska, C. Boggian, S. Corbella. Dental Cadmos 2010, Giugno; 78(6)
7. Dental glass ionomer cements as permanent filling materials? – Properties, limitations and future trends. U. Lohbauer. Materials 2010, 3, 76-96; doi:10.3390/ma3010076
8. 6-month clinical performance of a new glass-ionomer restorative system. S. Gurgan, F.Y. Cakir, E. Firat, Z.B. Kutuk, S. Ak. Abstract 403 – IADR 2010, Barcelona, Spain
9. Clinical evaluation of new glass ionomer-coating combined systems for 18 months. L.S. Turkun, O. Kanik. Abstract 402 – IADR 2010, Barcelona, Spain
10. The effect of coating glass ionomers with a nanofilled resin. C. Bonifacio, W.E. Van Amerongen, A. Werner and C. Kleverlaan. Abstract 2987 – IADR 2010, Barcelona, Spain.
11. GC Fuji IX GP EXTRA. The Dental Advisor, March 2008, Vol.25, No 2
12. Nuove prospettive dei cementi vetroionomerici nella pratica clinica. M. Basso, J. Nowakowska, S. Corbella, S. D'Amici, L. Francetti. Dental Cadmos 2010 Marzo ;78(3) p.59-75
13. Do bleaching materials affect the color of aesthetic restorative materials ? O. Kumbuloglu, T. Bicakci, E. Cal, M. Turkun and L.S. Turkun. Abstract 2551 – IADR 2011, San Diego, USA
14. 12-Month clinical performance of a glass-ionomer restorative system. S. Gurgan, F. Yalcin Cakir, E. Firat, Z.B. Kutuk, S.S. Ak, Y. Korkmaz. Abstract 3246 – IADR 2011, San Diego, USA
15. Clinical performance of a glass ionomer based restorative system. P. Khandelwal, K.-A. Hiller, K. Friedl, K.-H. Friedl. Abstract 3240 – IADR 2011, San Diego, USA
16. Long-term Dental Restorations using High-Viscosity Coated Glassionomer Cements. M. Basso, J.K. Nowakowska, M. Del Fabbro. Abstract 2494 – IADR 2011, San Diego, USA



17. In-vitro wear of glass ionomer restorative systems. M. Latta, W.W. Barkmeier. Abstract 1978 – IADR 2011, San Diego, USA
18. Strength and wear resistance of a dental glass-ionomer cement with a novel nanofilled resin coating. U. Lohbauer, N. Krämer, G. Siedschlag, E. Schubert, B. Lauerer, F. Müller, A. Petschelt, J. Ebert. American Journal of Dentistry, Vol.24, N°2, April 2011
19. Fluoride release from uncoated and coated glass-ionomers cements. L. Saleh-Hassan, J. Padovano, A.K.B. Bedran-Russo. Abstract 2480 – IADR 2011, San Diego, USA
20. Properties of surface coated and uncoated glass-ionomer cement. H. Watanabe, D. Covey. Abstract 1982 - IADR 2011, San Diego, USA
21. Clinical performance of a new glass ionomer based restoration system: A retrospective cohort study. K. Friedl, K.-A. Hiller, K.-H. Friedl. Dental Materials, 27(2011) 1031–1037
22. The science of simplicity. P. Khandelwal. J Minim Interv Dent 2011; 4 (6)
23. Evaluación in vitro de la dureza superficial del ionómero de vidrio como material restaurador. J. Roldán, M. Mercadé, M. Vallés, M. Roig, L. Giner. Dentum 2011;11(1):33-35
24. Coating glass-ionomer cements with a nanofilled resin. C. Calil Bonifácio, A. Werner & C.J. Kleverlaan. Acta Odontologica Scandinavica, 2011; Early Online, 1–7
25. Resin-coated glass ionomer cement abrasion and wear resistance. A.S. Aljamhan, J.A. Platt, N.B. Cook, M. Cochran, B. Matis, A. Ferreira Zandona. Abstract 1045 – AADR 2012, Tampa, USA
26. Influence of a nanofilled coating on physical properties of glassionomercements. U. Lohbauer, A. Petschelt. Abstract 1048 – AADR 2012, Tampa, USA
27. Influence of resin coating time on GI shear strength. J. Blasbalg, S. Levartovsky, R. Pilo. Abstract 44 – IADR 2011, Israeli division
28. Biocompatibility of different types of adhesive restorative systems on human pulp. T. Toz, A. Kiremitci, A. Usubütün. Abstract 122 – Conseuro 2011, Istanbul, turkey. Clin Oral Invest (2011) 15:771–857
29. Clinical evaluation of new encapsulated glass ionomers and surface coating combinations for 24-months. O. Kanik, L.S. Turkun. Abstract 113 – Conseuro 2011, Istanbul, turkey. Clin Oral Invest (2011) 15:771–857
30. Flexural and diametral tensile strength of capsulated GICs for ART. I. Mazzola, L. Brain Lascano, G.F. Molina, M.A. Farah, R.J. Cabral, J. Frencken. Abstract 193 – IADR 2012, Iguaçú Falls, Brazil
31. Compressive strength of new capsulated GICs for Atraumatic Restorative Treatment. R.J. Cabral, G.F. Molina, L. Brain Lascano, L.M. Zaya, I. Mazzola, J. Frencken. Abstract 192 – IADR 2012, Iguaçú Falls, Brazil
32. DTS of high-viscosity GICs heated with LED during setting period. G.F. Molina, R.J. Cabral, I. Mazzola, C.J. Pascualini, M. Farah, J. Frencken. Abstract 191 - IADR 2012, Iguaçú Falls, Brazil
33. 24-Month Clinical Performance of a Glass-Ionomer Restorative System. S. Gurgan, F. Yalcin Cakir, E. Firat, Z.B. Kutuk, S.S. Ak Oztas, Y. Korkmaz Ceylan. Abstract 107 - IADR 2012, Iguaçú Falls, Brazil
34. Glasionomerzemente für die Kinderzahnheilkunde. N. Krämer, U. Lohbauer, C. Uebereck, B. Wöstmann, R. Frankenberger. Quintessenz 2012;63(5):639-644



35. Coating glass-ionomer cements with a nanofilled resin. C. Calil Bonifácio, A. Werner, C.J. Kleverlaan. *Acta Odontologica Scandinavica*, 2011; Early Online, 1-7
36. Randomized clinical trial in the field—recruitment and practitioners profile. T. Klinke, C. Schwahn, R. Biffar. Abstract 192 – EADR 2012 Helsinki, Finland
37. Permanent restorations with glass ionomer cements. Clinical evaluation on 319 cases. M. Basso, M. Heiss, P. Khandelwal, M. Del Fabbro. Abstract 594 – IADR Seattle 2013
38. 36-months clinical performance of a glass-ionomer restorative system. S. Gurgan, F. Yalcin Cakir, E. Firat, Z.B. Kutuk, S.S. Oztas. Abstract 2933 – IADR Seattle, March 2013
39. Evaluation of a conventional glass ionomer cement with new zinc formulation: effect of coating, aging and storage agents. J.Zoergiebel, N. Ilie. *Clin Oral Invest* DOI 10.1007/s00784-012-0733-1
40. Does Coating Reduce Water Absorption in Glass-Ionomer Restorations? A.D. Hindsman, R.H. Hatch, B.J. Blen, D. Tantbirojn, A. Versluis. Abstract 1044 – IADR March 2012, Tampa, USA
41. Resin-Coated Glass Ionomer Cement Abrasion and Wear Resistance. A.S. Aljamhan, J.A. Platt, N.B. Cook, M. Cochran, B. Matis, A. Ferreira Zandona. Abstract 1045 – IADR March 2012, Tampa, USA
42. Compressive Strength of new capsulated GICs for Atraumatic Restorative Treatment. R.J. Cabral, G.F. Molina, L. Brain Lascano, L.M. Zaya, I. Mazzola, J. Frencken. Abstract 192 – IADR Brazil 2012
43. Flexural and Diametral Tensile Strength of Capsulated GICs for ART. I. Mazzola, L. Brain Lascano, G.F. Molina, M.A. Farah, R.J. Cabral, J. Frencken. Abstract 193 – IADR Brazil 2012
44. DTS of high-viscosity GICs heated with LED during setting period. G.F. Molina, R.J. Cabral, I. Mazzola, C.J. Pascualini, M. Farah, J. Frencken. Abstract 191 – IADR Brazil 2012
45. 24-Month Clinical Performance of a Glass-Ionomer Restorative System. S. Gurgan, F. Yalcin Cakir, E. Firat, Z.B. Kutuk, S.S. Ak Oztas, Y. Korkmaz Ceylan. Abstract 107 – IADR Brazil 2012
46. Antibacterial Effect of a New Posterior Glass Ionomer Cement. S. Banava, S.H. Inanloo, M. Ahouran. Abstract 178153 – IADR Iran 2012
47. Thermal Insulation of restorative cements for ART heated with LED. R.J. Cabal. Abstract 480 – IADR Seattle 2013.
48. Permanent restorations with glassionomer cements, Clinical evaluation on 319 cases. M. Basso. Abstract 594 – IADR Seattle 2013.
49. Fracture Strength of Class II ART Restorations with Proximal Retention. M.F.D.L. Navarro, M.C.C.D.A. Fretas, M.T. Atta, R.D.I. Navarro; P.V.P. Oltramari-Navarro, E. Fernandes, L. Wang, J.R.P. Lauris. Abstract 1134 – IADR Seattle 2013.
50. Effect of resin Coating on Fluoride Release from a GIC. L. Al Dehailan, G. Eckert, J.A. Platt. Abstract 1683 – IADR Seattle 2013.
51. Effectiveness of Resin Coatings On Glass-Ionomer Cements Against Wear. R.A. Zweifler. Abstract 1684 – IADR Seattle 2013.
52. Mechanical Properties of a New Zinc- Reinforced Glass Ionomer Restorative Material. S.S. Al-Angari, A. Hara, T.G. Chu, J. Platt, N.B. Cook. Abstract 1685 – IADR Seattle 2013.



53. BFS of GIC's for ART heated with LED during setting. G.F. Molina, I. Mazolla, L. Brain Lascano, R.J. Cabral, J. Frencken. Abstract 2793 – IADR Seattle 2013.
54. 36-months clinical Performance of a Glass-Ionomer Restorative System. S. Gurgan, F. Yalcin Cakir, E. Firat, Z.B. Kutuk, SS. Oztas. Abstract 2933 – IADR Seattle 2013.
55. Marginal Quality, Wear and Fracture Behaviour of Different GICs in vitro. V.E. Vosen, M. Krech, N. Schmidt, M. Lison, A. Braun, R. Frankenberger. Abstract 085 – Conseuro Paris 2013
56. Glass Ionomer Restorative System for Permanent Dental Restorations. Clinical Evaluation on 283 restorations at 36 months. M. Basso, M. Gone Benitez, L. Francetti. Abstract 083 - Conseuro Paris 2013.
57. The effect of a nano-filled resin coating on the 3-year clinical performance of a conventional high-viscosity glass-ionomer cement. V.T.K. Diem, M.J. Tyas, H.C. Ngo, L.H.Phuong, N.D. Khanh. Clin Oral Invest. Published online: 07 July 2013.
58. Toothbrush abrasion of resin coated glass ionomers over 8 years. L. Sebnem Turkum, O. Kanik, W. Dash.
59. Comparative evaluation of surface coated compomer and glass ionomer cement in vitro. Uzel, Ertugrul. Abstract/poster 461, FDI Istanbul 28-31/08/2013.
60. EQUIA - RCT in the field: Longevity after 24 months. T. Klinke, A. Daboul, R. Biffar. Abstract 3 – IADR Firenze, September 2013
61. Friction coefficients for glassionomer cements depending on a coating layer. U. Lohbauer, R. Belli, A. Petschelt. Abstract 473 – IADR Firenze, September 2013
62. Clinical Evaluation of Class I Resin Coated Glass Ionomer Restorations. C. Pentelescu, A. Delean, L. Colceriu, L. Pascu, J. Sander. Abstract 53 – IADR Firenze, September 2013
63. Toothbrush Abrasion Of Resin Coated Glass Ionomers Over 8 Years. L.S. Turkun, O. Kanik, W. Dasch. Abstract 7 – IADR Firenze, September 2013
64. An in vitro study on the maturation of conventional glass ionomer cements and their interface to dentin. J. Zoergiebel, N. Ilie. Acta Biomaterialia (2013)
65. Mechanical performance of encapsulated restorative glass-ionomer cements for use with Atraumatic Restorative Treatment (ART). G.F. Molina, R.J. Cabral, I. Mazzola, L. Brain Lascano, J.E. Frencken. J Appl Oral Sci. 2013;21(3):243-9
66. – redirected to Fuji IX GP (Fast)
67. Effects of Storage Media on the Flexural Strength of GIC. K. Gorseta, D. Glavina, T. Skrinjaric, I. Skrinjaric. Abstract 25 – IADR-CED 2013, Firenze, Italy
68. Biaxial Flexural Strength of High-Viscosity Glass-Ionomer Cements Heat-Cured with an LED Lamp during Setting. G.F. Molina, R.J. Cabral, I. Mazzola, L. Brain Lascano, J.E. Frencken. Hindawi Publishing Corporation, BioMed Research International, Volume 2013, Article ID 838460, 6 pages
69. Two-year study on the clinical performance of the glass ionomer based restorative system EQUIA. S. Gurgan, E. Firat E, ZB. Kutuk. Journal of Minimum Intervention in Dentistry 2013; 6: 81-86
70. Evaluation of a glass-ionomer based restoration system-a one year pilot study. I. Miletić, A. Baraba, I. Bago Jurič, I. Anić. Journal of Minimum Intervention in Dentistry 2013; 6: 87-95



71. 60-Month Clinical Performance Of A Glass-Ionomer Restorative System. S. GURGAN, Z.B. KUTUK, E. FIRAT, F.Y. ÇAKIR, and S.S. OZTAS. Abstract 89 – IADR 2014
72. Effect of Heat Sources on the Mechanical Properties of GICs. G.F. Molina, I. Mazzola, L.B. Lascano, R.J. Cabral, J. Frencken. Abstract 298 – IADR 2014
73. Evaluation on wear resistance of glass ionomer restoratives with resin-coatings. Y. Hokii, K. Kato, F. Fusejima & T. Kumagai. GCC
74. Microleakage of new glass ionomer restorative materials in permanent teeth. F.E. Giray, S. Peker, B. Durmus, B. Kargül. *European Journal of Paediatric Dentistry*, 15(2), 2014, pp. 122-126.
75. Clinical performance of root surface restorations in older adults. M. Hayes, L. Anweigi, F.M. Burke & F. Allen. International Association for Dental Research. Presentation: 27/06/2014, CTICC Convention Center.
76. 48-months, multicenter, clinical evaluation on 304 glassionomer permanent restorations. M. Basso, A. Ionescu & J.M. Gone Benites. POSTER ID: 398
77. Four-year randomized clinical trial to evaluate the clinical performance of a glass ionomer restorative system. S. Gurgan, Z.B. Kutuk, E. Ergin, S.S. Oztas & F.Y. Cakir. *Operative Dentistry*, 2015, 40(1), pp. 1-10.
78. Influence of thermo-curing on the flexural strength of GIC. K. Gorseta, T. Skrinjaric, L. Gavic, D. Glavina. *Dental Materials*, 2014, 30(1), p. e69.
79. Clinical effectiveness of contemporary adhesives for the restoration of non-carious cervical lesions. A systematic review. M. Peumans, J. De Munck, A. Mine, B. Van Meerbeek. *Dental Materials*, 2014, 30, pp. 1089-1103.
80. Material-related recommendations for the use of a glassionomer-based restorative system in class II cavities. A mechanical test. M. Basso, A. Ionescu & E. Brambilla. DenKupiecClinic, Galeazzi Orthopaedic Institute, University of Milan, Italy. Poster ID: #3532.
81. 48 months clinical performance of two current glass-ionomer systems: A RCT in the field. Klinke et al. *Trials* (2016) 17:239
82. Glass-ionomer cement for permanent dental restorations: a 48-months multi-centre, prospective clinical trial. M. Basso, E. Brambilla, M.G. Benites, M. Giovannardi & A.C. Ionescu. *Stoma Edu J.* 2015;2(1), pp. 25-35.
83. Heat transfer properties and thermal cure of glass-ionomer dental cements. L. Gavic, K. Gorseta, D. Glavina, B. Czarnecka & J.W. Nicholson. *Mater Sci: Mater Med*, 2015, 26:249, pp. 1-6.
84. Variations gravimétriques lors du vieillissement de deux CVI. T. Prud'homme, K. Khairoun, S. Lopez-Cazaux, P. Weiss, F. Jordana. LIOAD, Université de Nantes, CHU Nantes.
85. One year comparative clinical evaluation of EQUIA with resin-modified glass ionomer and a nanohybrid composite in noncarious cervical lesions. D. Sunil Vaid, N. Chinmay Shah, P. Shripad Bilgi. *Journal of Conservative Dentistry*, Nov-Dec 2015, Vol 18, Issue 6, p. 449-452
86. A prospective six-year clinical study evaluating reinforced glass ionomer cements with resin coating on posterior teeth: quo vadis? L.S. Türkün & Ö. Kanik. *Operative Dentistry*, 0000, 00-0, 000-000.
87. In vitro abrasion of resin-coated highly viscous glass ionomer cements: a confocal laser scanning microscopy study. O. Kanik, L. S. Turkun & W. Dasch. *Clin Oral Invest* (2017) 21:821–829, doi:10.1007/s00784-016-1820-5



88. Branded GICs proven best. Orthodontic Technicians Associations. **EDITOR /** 30 NOVEMBER 2016. <http://www.dentalreview.news/dentistry/73-restoration-and-repair/1668-branded-gics-proven-best>
89. Clinical performance of a glass ionomer restorative system: a 6-year evaluation. S. Gurgan, Z. Bilge Kutuk, E. Ergin, S. Seval Oztas, F. Yalcin Cakir. Clin Oral Invest (2017) 21:2335–2343. DOI 10.1007/s00784-016-2028-4
90. Suitability of ART approach for managing caries lesions in people with disability—Experts’ opinion. G.F. Molina, D. Faulks, J. Frencken. Acta Odontologica Scandinavica, 2013; Early Online, 1–6
91. One year survival of ART and conventional restorations in patients with disability. G.F. Molina, D. Faulks, I. Mazzola, J. Mulder, J.E. Frencken. BMC Oral Health 2014. <http://www.biomedcentral.com/1472-6831/14/49>
92. Acceptability, feasibility and perceived satisfaction of the use of the Atraumatic Restorative Treatment approach for people with disability. G. F. Molina, D. Faulks, J. Frencken. Braz Oral Res [online]. 2015;29(1):1-9
93. Three-year survival of ART high-viscosity glass-ionomer and resin composite restorations in people with disability. G.F. Molina, D. Faulks, I. Mazzola, R.J. Cabral, J. Mulder, J.E. Frencken. Clin Oral Invest. DOI 10.1007/s00784-017-2134-y
94. Clinical Evaluation of Microhybrid Composite and Glass Ionomer Restorative Material in Permanent Teeth. K. Kharma, T. Zogheib, S. Bhandi, Z. Salameh, C. Mehanna. J Contemp Dent Pract 2018;19(2):1-7C
95. Cavity Adaptation of Water-Based Restoratives Placed as Liners under a Resin Composite. S.B. Abraham, M.D. Gaintantzopoulou, G. Eliades. Hindawi International Journal of Dentistry, Volume 2017, Article ID 5957107, 8 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/5957107>
96. Effect of protective coating on microhardness of a new glass ionomer cement: Nanofilled coating versus unfilled resin. F. Faraji, H. Heshmat, S. Banava. J Conserv Dent. 2017 Jul-Aug; 20(4): 260–263
97. Evaluation of cavity wall adaptation of bulk esthetic materials to restore class II cavities in primary molars. M.D. Gaintantzopoulou & Vellore K. Gopinath¹ & Spiros Zinelis. Clin Oral Invest (2017) 21:1063–1070. DOI 10.1007/s00784-016-1848-6
98. The effect of petroleum jelly, light-cured varnish and different storage media on the flexural strength of glass ionomer dental cements. K. Gorseta, D. Glavina, T. Skrinjaric, B. Czarnecka, J.W. Nicholson. ACTA BIOMATERIALIA ODONTOLOGICA SCANDINAVICA, 2016; VOL. 2, NO. 1, 55–59. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3109/23337931.2016.1160784>
99. Clinical performance during 48 months of two current glass ionomer restorative systems with coatings: a randomized clinical trial in the field. Th. Klinke, A. Daboul, A. Turek, R. Frankenberger, R. Hickel, R. Biffar. Biomed Central, Klinke et al. Trials (2016) 17:239. DOI 10.1186/s13063-016-1339-8
100. Systematic review on highly viscous glass-ionomer cement/resin coating restorations (Part II): Do they merge Minamata Convention and minimum intervention dentistry? AM. Kielbassa, G. Glockner, M. Wolgin, K. Glockner. Quintessence Int. 2017;48(1):9-18. doi: 10.3290/j.qi.a37211
101. Maturation of restorative glass ionomers with simplified application Procedure. Nicoleta Ilie. Journal of Dentistry 79 (2018) 46-52



102. Comparative Evaluation of Postoperative Sensitivity in Bulk Fill Restoratives: A Randomized Controlled Trial. R. Thakarshibhai Hirani, R. Batra, S. Kapoor. *J Int Soc Prev Community Dent.* 2018 Nov-Dec; 8(6): 534–539. Published online 2018 Nov 29. doi:10.4103/jispcd.JISPCD_218_18:10.4103/jispcd.JISPCD_218_18 PMID: PMC6280564 PMID: 30596045
103. Randomized clinical trial of class II restoration in permanent teeth comparing ART with composite resin after 12 months. R. Menezes-Silva, S. R. M. Velasco, R. S. Bastos, G. Molina, H. M. Honório, J. E. Frencken, M. F. L. Navarro. *Clinical Oral Investigations.* <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00784-018-2787-1>
104. Clinical wear of approximal glass ionomer restorations protected with a nanofilled self-adhesive light-cured protective coating. D. Hesse, C. Calil Bonifacio, C.J. Kleverlaan, D.P. Raggio. *Journal of Applied Oral Science* 2018;26:e20180094. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/1678-7757-2018-0094>
105. Randomized, Controlled, Split-mouth Trial Evaluating the Clinical Performance of High-viscosity Glass-ionomer Restorations in Noncarious Cervical Lesions: Two-year Results. E. Uzer Çelika/A. Tugce Tunacb/F. Yilmaz. *J Adhes Dent* 2018; 20: 299–305. doi: 10.3290/j.jad.a40985
106. Three-year clinical evaluation of high-viscosity glass ionomer restorations in non-cariou cervical lesions: a randomised controlled split-mouth clinical trial. E. Uzer Celik, A. Tugce Tunac, F. Yilmaz. *Clinical Oral Investigations.* <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00784-018-2575-y>
107. Microleakage of high viscosity glass-ionomer and glass-carbomer with and without coating before and after hydrothermal aging. A.PATIR-MÜNEVVEROĞLU, A. ÖZSOY, B. BALLI-AKGÖL, R. BOZATLIOĞLU, P. KORKUT, B. UYSAL, M. ÖZCAN. *Braz Dent Sci* 2019 Jan/Mar;22(1)
108. Bilayer technique and nano-filled coating increase success of approximal ART restorations: a randomized clinical trial. Bonifácio CC, Raggio DP, Hesse D, Guglielmi C de AB, Bönecker M, van Amerongen WE. *Int J Paediatr Dent.* 2015;26(3):231-239. doi:10.1111/ipd.12194
109. **A Randomized, Controlled,** Split-mouth Trial Evaluating the Clinical Performance of High-viscosity Glass-ionomer Restorations in Noncarious Cervical Lesions: Two-year Results. Çelik EU, Tunac AT, Yilmaz F. *J Adhes Dent.* 2018;20(4):299-305. doi: 10.3290/j.jad.a40985.
110. de Franca Lopes CMC, Schubert EW, Martins AS, et al. Randomized Clinical Trial of ART Class II Restorations Using Two Glass Ionomer Cements: One-Year Follow-Up. *Pediatr Dent.* 2018;40(2):98-104. <http://www.ingentaconnect.com/contentone/aapd/pd/2018/00000040/0000002/art00005>. Accessed April 20, 2018.
111. Glass hybrid restorations as an alternative for restoring hypomineralized molars in the ART model. Grossi J de A, Cabral RN, Ribeiro APD, Leal SC. *BMC Oral Health.* 2018;18(1):65. doi:10.1186/s12903-018-0528-0
112. Clinical Performance of Heat-Cured High-Viscosity Glass Ionomer Class II Restorations in Primary Molars: A Preliminary Study. Tickotsky N, Moskovitz M, Kupietzky A, Tal E, Fuks AB. *J Clin Pediatr Dent.* 2017;41(4):264-270. doi:10.17796/1053-4628-41.4.264
113. Effectiveness of conventional treatment using bulk-fill composite resin versus Atraumatic Restorative Treatments in primary and permanent dentition: A pragmatic randomized clinical trial. Olegário IC, Hesse D, Bönecker M, et al. *BMC Oral Health.* 2016;17(1):34. doi:10.1186/s12903-016-0260-6
114. **7 Years, Multi- centre,** Clinical Evaluation on 154 Permanent Restorations Made With a Glass ionomer-based Restorative System M. Basso, J. Gone



- Benites, A. Ionescu, C. Tassera. IADR-APR abstract 0446, Seoul 2016. ABSTRACT
115. Fluoride release from glass ionomer with nano filled coat and varnish. V. Brzović Rajić, I. Miletic, S. Gurgan, K. Peroš, Ž. Verzak, A. Ivanišević Malčić. Acta stomatol Croat. 2018;52(4):307-313. DOI: 10.15644/asc52/4/4
116. Repair Potential of a New Glass Hybrid Restorative System. U. Koc Vural, S. Gurgan. 2019 Nigerian Journal of Clinical Practice. www.njcponline.com DOI: 10.4103/njcp.njcp_551_18
117. Color stability, roughness, and water sorption/solubility of glass ionomer-Based restorative materials. Savas S, Colgecen O, Yasa B, Kucukyilmaz E. Niger J Clin Pract. 2019 Jun;22(6):824-832. doi: 10.4103/njcp.njcp_592_18.
118. The evaluation of microleakage and fluoride release of different types of glass ionomer cements. Bahsi E, Sagmak S, Dayi B, Cellik O, Akkus Z. Niger J Clin Pract. 2019 Jul;22(7):961-970. doi: 10.4103/njcp.njcp_644_18.
119. A randomized controlled 10 years follow up of a glass ionomer restorative material in class I and class II cavities. Gurgan S, Kutuk ZB, Yalcin Cakir F, Ergin E. J Dent. 2019 Jul 25. pii: S0300-5712(19)30157-5. doi: 10.1016/j.jdent.2019.07.013
120. Comparative Radiopacity of Different Posterior Restorative Materials. Z. Ergucu, M. Balci, P. Güneri, H. Boyacioglu, L. Sebnem Turkun. Abstract, CED-IADR 2019
121. Biomimetic toothpaste and bioactive restorative material effect against secondary caries. A. Ionescu, P. Delvecchio, V. Zambelli, G. Bellani, M. Belluz, D. Augustino; E. Brambilla. Poster presentation @ EDMC Brussels August 2019
122. Long-term clinical performance of heat-cured high-viscosity glass ionomer class II restorations versus resin-based composites in primary molars: a randomized comparison trial. Kupietzky A, Atia Joachim D, Tal E, Moskovitz M. Eur Arch Paediatr Dent. 2019 Oct;20(5):451-456. doi: 10.1007/s40368-019-00423-x. Epub 2019 Feb 28.
123. Randomized Clinical Trial Evaluating Proximal Retentions on ART Restorations. Zelada Lopez, Lily et al. <https://iadr.abstractarchives.com/abstract/19iags-3186996/randomized-clinical-trial-evaluating-proximal-retentions-on-art-restorations>.
124. Three-year Randomized Clinical Trial Evaluating ART and Composite Resin Restorations. <https://iadr.abstractarchives.com/abstract/19iags-3179183/three-year-randomized-clinical-trial-evaluating-art-and-composite-resin-restorations>
125. Five-year Follow-up Of ART And CRT In Patients With Disability. <https://iadr.abstractarchives.com/abstract/19iags-3175984/five-year-follow-up-of-art-and-crt-in-patients-with-disability>
126. High-viscosity glass-ionomer vs. composite resin restorations in persons with disability: Five-year follow-up of clinical trial. Molina G, Faulks D, Mulder J, Frencken J. Braz. Oral Res. 2019;33:e099. <https://doi.org/10.1590/1807-3107bor-2019>
127. Compressive strength of new glass ionomer cement technology based restorative materials after thermocycling and cyclic loading. V. Brzović Rajić, A. Ivanišević Malčić, Z. Bilge Kütük, S. Gurgan, S. Jukić Krmek, I. Miletic. Acta stomatol Croat. 2019;53(3):318-325. DOI: 10.15644/asc53/4/2
128. Evaluation of Mechanical Properties of New GI-restorative (EQUIA Forte HT). Shimada Y, Mori D, Kumagai T. IADR, Vancouver, 2019



129. Three-year Survival Of Class II Restorations Using Two Restorative Materials. G. Molina et al. *J Dent Res J Dent Res Vol 99 (Spec Iss A)*: 1385, <https://iadr2020.zerista.com/event/member/678007>, 2020
130. Randomized Clinical Trial Evaluating Proximal Retentions on ART Restorations. L.M. Lopez. *J Dent Res J Dent Res Vol 99 (Spec Iss)*: 1523, <https://iadr2020.zerista.com/event/member/678688>, 2020
131. Randomized Clinical Trial Evaluating Proximal Retentions on Class II ART Restorations, P. Gonçalves et al. *J Dent Res J Dent Res Vol 99 (Spec Iss A)*: 1525, <https://iadr2020.zerista.com/event/member/678690>, 2020
132. Evaluation of the Effect of Various Beverages on the Color Stability and Microhardness of Restorative Materials. S Ozkanoglu, EGG Akin. *Nigerian Journal of Clinical Practice*, Vol. 23, Issue 3, March 2020. Downloaded free from <http://www.njcponline.com> on Thursday, March 26, 2020, IP: 46.140.12.210]
133. Six-year results of a randomized controlled clinical trial of two glass ionomer cements in class II cavities. K. Heck, I. Frasher, Ch. Diegritz, J. Manhart, R. Hickel, Ch. Fotiadou. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ident.2020.103333>. Received 31 January 2020; Received in revised form 6 April 2020; Accepted 8 April 2020
134. Glass carbomer and compomer for ART restorations: 3-year results of a randomized clinical trial. I. Olegário, D. Hesse, F. Medeiros Mendes, C. Calil Bonifácio, D. Prócida Raggio. *Clinical Oral Investigations* (2019) 23:1761–1770. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00784-018-2593-9>
135. Comparative evaluation of antimicrobial efficacy and fluoride release of seven different glass-ionomer-based restorative materials. S.Sagmak, E. Bahsi, N. Ozcan, O. Satıcı. *Oral Health Prev Dent* 2020; 18: 521-528
136. Subjective versus objective, polymer bur-based selective carious tissue removal: 1-year interim analysis of a randomized clinical trial. Marques MG, Hilgert LA, Silva LR, Demarchi KM, Dos Santos Matias PM, Ribeiro APD, Leal SC, Paris S, Schwendicke F. *Sci Rep*. 2020 Jun 4;10(1):9130. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-66074-x
137. Two-Year Survival of High-Viscosity Glass Ionomer in Molar Incisor Hipomineralized-molars. Durmus B, Sezer B, Tugcu N, Caliskan C, Bekiroglu N, Kargul B. *Med Princ Pract*. 2020 May 15. doi: 10.1159/000508676. Online ahead of print.
138. Clinical Efficacy of GIC in Treatment of Occlusal MIH Lesions. Palma-Dibb, R.G., L.A. Pion, J.J. Faraoni, I.B. Quero, D. Hesse, A.M. Queiroz, and F.M. Carvalho. 2022. *Dental Materials* 38 (January): e17–18. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.DENTAL.2021.12.060>

Articles in Dental magazines

1. EQUIA, L'information Dentaire, n°26, 27-06-07, p.1510
2. Best products 2007, CRA Newsletter, Vol 31 - Dec 2007
3. DPRE, Clinical Usage review, P. Tietze Jan-Feb 2008
4. Equia, Info Dentaire, 27 June 07
5. CRA Newsletter. April 2008, Vol 1, Issue 4





6. Staklenoionomerni cementi u slozenim oralnim rehabilitacijama. M. Basso, S. D'Amici, J. Nowakowska. The Croatian Journal of Dental Medicine, 2/09, p. 46-49
7. Restauraciones directas con ionómero de vidrio en sector posterior. F. Caballero, M. Mercadé, M. Vallès, M. Roig. Labor Dental, vol.9, nº3 - 6/2008
8. Glass ionomer cements: some scientific analysis. Revista Română de Medicină Dentară, Vol. XII – nr. 6/2009, p.7-12
9. Für einen großen Qualitätsgewinn bei geringem Mehraufwand. C. Göhring. Dentalzeitung, 10. Jarngang – März 2009
10. Glass ionomers: a therapeutic alternative to direct composite restorations. D.H. Ward. Oral Health April 2010
11. Cementy glasonomerowe w świetle analizy naukowej. K.H. Friedl. STOMATOLOGIA WSPÓŁCZESNA vol. 17, nr 2, 2010.
12. Simplifying posterior restorations using self-adhesive systems. D.A. Terry. Dentistry Today.com, May 2010
13. Fuji IX GP Extra: A Versatile Restorative Option For The Person with Special Needs. Carlton Horbelt. www.aadmd.org
14. A rapid and aesthetic alternative to a direct posterior composite. M.L. Pitel. DentistryToday.com, November 2010
15. Aplicación clínica del ionómero de vidrio como material restaurador. R. Mateos-Palacios, C. Lucena, J.A. Gil, J.M. Navajas, R. Pulgar. Labor Dental Clínica, Vol.11, nº4, 10-12/2010
16. Glass-Ionomer Cement Technology Advances into 21st Century Dentistry - Systematic reviews reveal the continued evolution of dental materials. MIDENTISTRY.CORP. (PRWEB)February 17, 2011
17. Incorporating glass ionomers into the daily routine of general practice. R. Kaminer. Dental Learning, February 2011 / www.dentallearning.net
18. Conveniently Packaged, Well-Proven Fuji IX Extra with Surface Sealer. Clinicians Report, March 2011, Vol 4, Issue 3
19. "Einfach währt am längsten! Auch bei der Seitenzahnfüllung?" Die Zahnarzt Woche 03, Ausgabe 27/11
20. Glasionomerzemente als Amalgamersatz. Dr. Eiben. DZ,2, 2011
21. (Kosten)-Effizienz in der Füllungstherapie mit EQUIA. Dr.A. Berden. www.barometer-online.info,02,2011
22. Alternativ gefüllt. M. Remus. Teamwork, J Cont Dent Educ 2/2011
23. Zahnfarben und Kosteneffizient: GIZ-Konzept ist eine echte Amalgamalternative. T. Schnell. www.barometer-online.info, 05,2011
24. In meiner Praxis als schneller, aber auch nachhaltiger Problemlöser bewährt. G. Fecht. DZW, Ausgabe 23/11
25. Neue Alternative für permanente Seitenzahnrestorationen. ZMK, Jg27, Sonderausgabe, July/August 2011
26. Einstufung der EQUIA-Füllung als zuzahlungsfreie GKV-abrechenbare Leistung. ZMK, Jg27, Sonderausgabe, July/August 2011
27. Den Praktiker einbeziehen. Teamwork, J Cont Dent Educ 4/2011
28. Ästhetische und zuverlässige Füllungen für jeden Geldbeutel. K. Kischko. DZ, 4/2011
29. Der Schutzlack sorgt für eine natürliche Ästhetik. Marion Güntzel. ZWP 10/2011
30. Überzeugende Ästhetik. Gert Fecht. DZ, 6/2011



31. Schrittweiser Versicht auf Amalgam. A. Kielbassa. Die ZahnarztWoche 11, Ausgabe 15/12
32. Glasionomer-basierte Systeme auf dem Vormarsch. D. Burghardt – interview Prof. R. Frankenberger. ZWP 4/2012 – 96-99
33. Ohne Versiegelung stabil. Deutscher Ärzte-Verlag Dental Magazin, 2012; 30(1);34-37
34. EQUIA: a true amalgam alternative. U. Hague. Dental Town - June 2012, p.94-96
35. Zahnarzt und Patient können indikationsbezogen profitieren. F. Rosenbaum. DZ, #6-12
36. Restauraciones dentales con ionómero de vidrio recubierto. Dr. M. Basso. Gaceta Dental 248, junio 2013 – P186-192
37. The Ratings- Miscellaneous, REALITY, October 2013 – Number 246 – p4-6
38. Nano-gefülltes Coating verbessert physikalische Eigenschaften von GIZ. DZW Ausgabe 42/13, p.26
39. Glasionomer Cementi. L. Miletic, I. Bago, A. Boraba, I. Anic. Neodent Brief News, January 2014
40. Reconsiderarea cimenturilor ionomer de sticlă pentru restaurări directe. M. L. Pitel. Actualități stomatologice, nr. 61, martie 2014
41. Dental Materials- What Goes Where? The Current Status of Glass Ionomer as a Material for Loadbearing Restorations in Posterior Teeth. F. J. Trevor Burke. Restorative Dentistry, December 2013
42. Restauraciones de composite vs. Ionómero de vidrio: evaluando la satisfacción del paciente. Dr. Juan Manuel Goñe Benites. Gaceta Dental 258, mayo 2014, p. 208-214
- 43.